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## Parametric Curves and Surfaces

$\square$ Mathematical Curve Representation
$\square$ Parametric Cubic Curves
$\square$ Parametric Bi-Cubic Surfaces

## The Utah Teapot


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Utah_teapot http://www.sjbaker.org/teapot/

## Mathematical Curve Representation

$\square$ Explicit $y=f(x)$

- what if the curve is not a function, e.g., a circle?
$\square$ Implicit $g(x, y)=0$
$\square$ Parametric $(x(u), y(u))$
- For the circle:


## Recall: Plane Equation

$\square A x+B y+C z+D=0$
a and $(A, B, C)$ means the normal vector
so, given points $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ on the plane

- $(A, B, C)=P_{1} P_{2} \times P_{1} P_{3}$
- what happened if $(A, B, C)=(0,0,0)$ ?
- the distance from a vertex $(x, y, z)$ to the plane is

$$
d=\frac{A x+B y+C z+D}{\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}+C^{2}}}
$$

## Parametric Polynomial Curves

$\square$ We will use parametric curves where the functions are all polynomials in the parameter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} u^{k} \\
& y(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} u^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Advantages:

- easy (and efficient) to compute
- infinitely differentiable


## Parametric Cubic Curves

$\square$ Fix $n=3$
$\square$ The cubic polynomials that define a curve segment $Q(t)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}x(t) & y(t) & z(t)\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=a_{x} t^{3}+b_{x} t^{2}+c_{x} t+d_{x} \\
& y(t)=a_{y} t^{3}+b_{y} t^{2}+c_{y} t+d_{y}, \\
& z(t)=a_{z} t^{3}+b_{z} t^{2}+c_{z} t+d_{z}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parametric Cubic Curves

$\square$ The curve segment can be rewrite as

$$
Q(t)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x(t) & y(t) & z(t)
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}=C \bullet T
$$

$\square$ where $T=\left[\begin{array}{llll}t^{3} & t^{2} & t & 1\end{array}\right]^{T}$

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{x} & b_{x} & c_{x} & d_{x} \\
a_{y} & b_{y} & c_{y} & d_{y} \\
a_{z} & b_{z} & c_{z} & d_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Tangent Vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} Q(t) & =Q^{\prime}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{d}{d t} x(t) & \frac{d}{d t} y(t) & \frac{d}{d t} z(t)
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\frac{d}{d t} C \bullet T=C \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
3 t^{2} & 2 t & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 a_{x} t^{2}+2 b_{x} t+c_{x} & 3 a_{y} t^{2}+2 b_{y} t+c_{y} & 3 a_{z} t^{2}+2 b_{z} t+c_{z}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

# Three Types of Parametric Cubic Curves 

$\square$ Hermite Curves

- defined by two endpoints and two endpoint tangent vectors
$\square$ Bézier Curves
- defined by two endpoints and two control points which control the endpoint' tangent vectors
$\square$ Splines
- defined by four control points


## Parametric Cubic Curves

$\square Q(t)=C \bullet T$
$\square$ rewrite the coefficient matrix as $C=G \bullet M$ - where $M$ is a $4 \times 4$ basis matrix, $G$ is called the geometry matrix
$Q(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}G_{1} & G_{2} & G_{3} & G_{4}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}m_{11} & m_{21} & m_{31} & m_{41} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} & m_{32} & m_{42} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} & m_{43} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & m_{44}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}t^{3} \\ t^{2} \\ t \\ 1\end{array}\right]$

## Parametric Cubic Curves

$\square Q(t)=G \bullet M \bullet T=G \bullet B$ where $B=M \bullet T$ is called the blending functions


## Hermite Curves


$\square$ Given the endpoints $P_{1}$ and $P_{4}$ and $R^{1}$ tangent vectors at them $R_{1}$ and $R_{4}$
$\square$ What is

- Hermite basis matrix $M_{\text {H }}$
- Hermite geometry vector $G_{\mathrm{H}}$ - Hermite blending functions $B_{\mathrm{H}}$
$\square$ by definition

$$
G_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{4} & R_{1} & R_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Hermite Curves


$\square$ since $Q(0)=P_{1}=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{R}_{1}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(1)=P_{4}=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
Q^{\prime}(0)=R_{1}=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
Q^{\prime}(1)=R_{4}=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
3 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \\
G_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{4} & R_{1} & R_{4}
\end{array}\right]=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Hermite Curves

$\square$ SO $M_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}2 & -3 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$\square$ and $Q(t)=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet T=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet B_{\mathrm{H}}$

$$
B_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
2 t^{3}-3 t^{2}+1 & -2 t^{3}+3 t^{2} & t^{3}-2 t^{2}+t & t^{3}-t^{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

## Computing a point

$\square$ Given two endpoints $P_{1}$ and $P_{4}$ and two tangent vectors at them $R_{1}$ and $R_{4}$
so

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llll}
Q(t) \\
P_{1} & P_{4} & R_{1} & R_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -3 & 0 & 1 \\
-2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
P_{1} & -2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
R_{4}^{3} \\
t^{2} \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Bézier Curves

$\square$ Given the endpoints $p_{1}$ and $P_{4}$ and two control points $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ which determine the endpoints' tangent vectors, such that $R_{1}=Q^{\prime}(0)=3\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)$

$$
R_{4}=Q^{\prime}(1)=3\left(P_{4}-P_{3}\right)
$$

$\square$ What is

- Bézier basis matrix $M_{B}$
- Bézier geometry vector $G_{B}$
- Bézier blending functions $B_{\mathrm{B}}$


## Bézier Curves

$\square$ by definition $G_{\mathrm{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{3} & P_{4}\end{array}\right]$
$\square$ then $G_{\mathrm{H}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{1} & P_{4} & R_{1} & R_{4}\end{array}\right]$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{3} & P_{4}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]=G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{HB}}\right.
$$

$\square$ so $Q(t)=G_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet T=\left(G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{HB}}\right) \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}} \bullet T$

$$
=G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet\left(M_{\mathrm{HB}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{H}}\right) \bullet T=G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet T
$$

## Bézier Curves



## Bernstein Polynomials

$\square$ The coefficients of the control points are a set of functions called the Bernstein polynomials: $Q(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i}(t) P_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}(t)=(1-t)^{3} \\
& b_{1}(t)=3 t(1-t)^{2} \\
& b_{2}(t)=3 t^{2}(1-t) \\
& b_{3}(t)=t^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bernstein Polynomials

$\square$ Useful properties on the interval [0,1]: - each is between 0 and 1

- sum of all four is exact 1
$\square$ a.k.a., a "partition of unity"
$\square$ These together imply that the curve lines within the convex hull of its control points.


## Convex Hull



## Subdividing Bézier Curves

$\square Q(t)=(1-t)^{3} P_{1}+3 t(1-t)^{2} P_{2}+3 t^{2}(1-t) P_{3}+t^{3} P_{4}$
$\square$ How to draw the curve?
$\square$ How to convert it to be line-segments?


## Subdividing Bézier Curves (de Casteljau's algorithm)

$\square Q(t)=(1-t)^{3} P_{1}+3 t(1-t)^{2} P_{2}+3 t^{2}(1-t) P_{3}+t^{3} P_{4}$
$\square$ How to draw the curve?
$\square$ How to convert it to be line-segments?

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{8} P_{1}+\frac{3}{8} P_{2}+\frac{3}{8} P_{3}+\frac{1}{8} P_{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{3}+P_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Display Bézier Curves

DisplayBezier(P1,P2,P3,P4) begin
if (FlatEnough(P1, P2, P3,P4)) Line(P1,P4);
else
Subdivide $(P[])=>L[], R[]$ DisplayBezier(L1,L2,L3,L4); DisplayBezier(R1,R2,R3,R4);
end;


## Testing for Flatness

$\square$ Compare total length of control polygon to length of line connecting endpoints

$$
\frac{\left|P_{1}-P_{2}\right|+\left|P_{2}-P_{3}\right|+\left|P_{3}-P_{4}\right|}{\left|P_{1}-P_{4}\right|}<1+\varepsilon
$$

$$
\stackrel{O}{P}_{1}
$$

$P_{4}$

What do we want for a curve?
$\square$ Local control
$\square$ Interpolation
$\square$ Continuity

## Local Control

$\square$ One problem with Bézier curve is that every control points affect every point on the curve (except for endpoints). Moving a single control point affects the whole curve.
$\square$ We'd like to have local control, that is, have each control point affect some well-defined neighborhood around that point.


## Interpolation

$\square$ Bézier curves are approximating. The curve does not necessarily pass through all the control points. We'd like to have a curve that is interpolating, that is, that always passes through every control points.


## Continuity <br> between Curve Segments



## Continuity <br> between Curve Segments

$\square G^{0}$ geometric continuity

- two curve segments join together
$\square G^{1}$ geometric continuity
- the directions (but not necessarily the magnitudes) of the two segments' tangent vectors are equal at a join point


## Continuity <br> between Curve Segments

$\square C^{1}$ continuous

- the tangent vectors of the two cubic curve segments are equal (both directions and magnitudes) at the segments' join point
$\square C^{n}$ continuous
- the direction and magnitude of $d^{n} / d t^{n}[Q(t)]$ through the $n$th derivative are equal at the join point


## Continuity between Curve Segments



## Continuity between Curve Segments



## Bézier Curves $\rightarrow$ Splines

$\square$ Bézier curves have C-infinity continuity on their interiors, but we saw that they do not exhibit local control or interpolate their control points.
$\square$ It is possible to define points that we want to interpolate, and then solve for the Bézier control points that will do the job.
$\square$ But, you will need as many control points as interpolated points $->$ high order polynomials -> wiggly curves. (And you still won't have local control.)

## Bézier Curves $\rightarrow$ Splines

$\square$ We will splice together a curve from individual Bézier segments. We call these curves splines.
$\square$ When splicing Bézier together, we need to worry about continuity.

## Ensuring $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity

$\square$ Suppose we have a cubic Bézier defined by $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}\right)$, and we want to attach another curve $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}\right)$ to it, so that there is $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity at the joint.

$$
C^{0}: Q_{V}(1)=Q_{W}(0)
$$

$\square$ What constraint(s) does this place on $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}\right)$ ?

$$
Q_{V}(1)=Q_{w}(0) \Rightarrow V_{4}=W_{1}
$$

## Ensuring $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity

$\square$ Suppose we have a cubic Bézier defined by $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}\right)$, and we want to attach another curve $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}\right)$ to it, so that there is $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity at the joint. $\quad C^{0}: Q_{V}(1)=Q_{w}(0)$

$$
C^{1}: Q_{v}^{\prime}(1)=Q_{W}^{\prime}(0)
$$

$\square$ What constraint(s) does this place on $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}\right)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{V}(1)=Q_{W}(0) \Rightarrow V_{4}=W_{1} \\
& Q_{V}^{\prime}(1)=Q_{W}^{\prime}(0) \Rightarrow V_{4}-V_{3}=W_{2}-W_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The C ${ }^{1}$ Bézier Spline

$\square$ How then could we construct a curve passing through a set of points $P_{1} \ldots P_{n}$ ?


- We can specify the Bézier control points directly, or we can devise a scheme for placing them automatically...


## Catmull-Rom Spline

$\square$ If we set each derivative to be one half of the vector between the previous and next controls, we get a Côtmull-Rom Splíhe.
$\square$ This leads 40 :
$V_{1}=P_{2}$
$V_{2}=P_{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left(P_{3}-P_{1}\right)$
$V_{3}=P_{3}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{6}\left(P_{4}-P_{2}\right)$
$V_{4}=P_{3}$

## Catmull-Rom Basis Matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(t) & =G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet T \\
& =G_{\mathrm{B}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 3 & 0 \\
-3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \bullet T G_{\mathrm{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} \\
V_{2} \\
V_{3} \\
V_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{-1}{6} \\
0 \\
Q(t)
\end{array}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{3} & P_{4}
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
2 & -5 & 4 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
t^{3} \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1
\end{array}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ensuring $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuity

$\square$ Suppose we have a cubic Bézier defined by $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}\right)$, and we want to attach another curve $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}\right)$ to it, so that there is $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuity at the joint.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{V}(1)=Q_{W}(0) \Rightarrow V_{4}=W_{1} \\
& Q_{V}^{\prime}(1)=Q_{W}^{\prime}(0) \Rightarrow V_{4}-V_{3}=W_{2}-W_{1} \\
& Q_{V}^{\prime \prime}(1)=Q_{W}^{\prime \prime}(0) \Rightarrow V_{2}-2 V_{3}+V_{4}=W_{1}-2 W_{2}+W_{3} \\
& \Downarrow \\
& W_{3}=V_{2}-4 V_{3}+4 V_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Spline

$\square$ Instead of specifying the Bézier control points themselves, let's specify the corners of the A-frames in order to build a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuous spline.

## B-Spline

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{3} & =V_{2}-4 V_{3}+4 V_{4} \\
& =2\left(2 V_{4}-V_{3}\right)-\left(2 V_{3}-V_{2}\right) \\
& =2 W_{2}-B_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B-Spline

$\square$ Instead of specifying the Bézier control points themselves, let's specify the corners of the A-frames in order to build a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuous spline.


## B-Spline

$\square$ Instead of specifying the Bézier control points themselves, let's specify the corners of the A-frames in order to build a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuous spline.


## B-Spline

$\square$ Instead of specifying the Bézier control points themselves, let's specify the corners of the A-frames in order to build a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ continuous spline.


These are called BSplines. The starting set of points are called de Boor points.

## B-Spline



## Uniform NonRational B-Splines

$\square$ cubic B-Spline

- has $m+1$ control points $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, m \geq 3$
- has $m$-2cubic polynomial curve segments
$Q_{3}, Q_{4}, \ldots, Q_{m}$
$\square$ uniform
the knots are spaced at equal intervals of the parameter $t$
$\square$ non-rational
- not rational cubic polynomial curves


## Uniform NonRational B-Splines

$\square$ curve segment $Q_{i}$ is defined by points $P_{i-3}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-1}, P_{i}$, thus
$\square$ B-Spline geometry matrix
$G_{\mathrm{Bs}_{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{i-3} & P_{i-2} & P_{i-1} & P_{i}\end{array}\right], \quad 3 \leq i \leq m$
$\square$ if $T_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{3} & \left(t-t_{i}\right)^{2} & \left(t-t_{i}\right) & 1\end{array}\right]^{\top}$
$\square$ then $Q_{i}(t)=G_{\mathrm{Bs}_{i}} \bullet M_{\mathrm{Bs}} \bullet T_{i}, \quad t_{i} \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$

## Uniform NonRational B-Splines

$\square$ so B-Spline basis matrix

$$
M_{\mathrm{Bs}}=\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 3 & -3 & 1 \\
3 & -6 & 0 & 4 \\
-3 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\square$ B-Spline blending functions

$$
B_{\mathrm{Bs}}=\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
(1-t)^{3} & 3 t^{3}-6 t^{2}+4 & -3 t^{3}+3 t^{2}+3 t+1 & t^{3}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

## NonUniform NonRational B-Splines

$\square$ the knot-value sequence is a nondecreasing sequence
$\square$ allow multiple knot and the number of identical parameter is the multiplicity

- Ex. $(0,0,0,0,1,1,2,3,4,4,5,5,5,5)$
$\square$ so

$$
Q_{i}(t)=P_{i-3} \bullet B_{i-3,4}(t)+P_{i-2} \bullet B_{i-2,4}(t)+P_{i-1} \bullet B_{i-1,4}(t)+P_{i} \bullet B_{i, 4}(t)
$$

## NonUniform NonRational B-Splines

$\square$ where $B_{i, j}(t)$ is $j$ th-order blending function for weighting control point $P_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i, 1}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & t_{i} \leq t \leq t_{i+1} \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& B_{i, 2}(t)=\frac{t-t_{i}}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}} B_{i, 1}(t)+\frac{t_{i+2}-t}{t_{i+2}-t_{i+1}} B_{i+1,1}(t) \\
& B_{i, 3}(t)=\frac{t-t_{i}}{t_{i+2}-t_{i}} B_{i, 2}(t)+\frac{t_{i+3}-t}{t_{i+3}-t_{i+1}} B_{i+1,2}(t) \\
& B_{i, 4}(t)=\frac{t-t_{i}}{t_{i+3}-t_{i}} B_{i, 3}(t)+\frac{t_{i+4}-t}{t_{i+4}-t_{i+1}} B_{i+1,3}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Knot Multiplicity \& Continuity

$\square$ since $Q\left(t_{i}\right)$ is within the convex hull of $P_{i-3}, P_{i-2}$, and $P_{i-1}$
$\square$ if $t_{i}=t_{i+1}, Q\left(t_{i}\right)$ is within the convex hull of $P_{i-3}, P_{i-2}$ and $P_{i-1}$ and the convex hull of $P_{i-2}, P_{i-1}$, and $P_{i,}$, so it will lie on $\overline{P_{i-2} P_{i-1}}$
$\square$ if $t_{i}=t_{i+1}=t_{i+2}, Q\left(t_{i}\right)$ will lie on $P_{i-1}$
$\square$ if $t_{i}=t_{i+1}=t_{i+2}=t_{i+3} Q\left(t_{i}\right)$ will lie on both $P_{i-1}$ and $P_{i}$, and the curve becomes broken

## Knot Multiplicity \& Continuity

$\square$ multiplicity $1: C^{2}$ continuity
$\square$ multiplicity 2 : $C^{1}$ continuity
$\square$ multiplicity 3: $C^{0}$ continuity
$\square$ multiplicity 4 : no continuity

## NURBS: <br> NonUniform Rational B-Splines

## $\square$ rational

$\square x(t), y(t)$, and $z(t)$ are defined as the ratio of two cubic polynomials
$\square$ rational cubic polynomial curve segments are ratios of polynomials

$$
x(t)=\frac{X(t)}{W(t)} \quad y(t)=\frac{Y(t)}{W(t)} \quad z(t)=\frac{Z(t)}{W(t)}
$$

$\square$ can be Bézier, Hermite, or B-Splines

## Parametric Bi-Cubic Surfaces

$\square$ parametric cubic curves are $Q(t)=G \bullet M \bullet T$
$\square$ so, parametric bi-cubic surfaces are

$$
Q(s)=G \bullet M \bullet S
$$

$\square$ if we allow the points in $G$ to vary in 3D along some path, then

$$
Q(s, t)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
G_{1}(t) & G_{2}(t) & G_{3}(t) & G_{4}(t)
\end{array}\right] \bullet M \bullet S
$$

$\square$ since $G_{i}(t)$ are cubics

$$
G_{i}(t)=\boldsymbol{G}_{i} \bullet M \bullet T, \text { where } \boldsymbol{G}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\boldsymbol{g}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{g}_{i 2} & \boldsymbol{g}_{i 3} & \boldsymbol{g}_{i 4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Parametric Bi-Cubic Surfaces

$\square$ so

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(s, t) & =T^{\mathrm{T}} \bullet M^{\mathrm{T}} \bullet\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\boldsymbol{g}_{11} & \boldsymbol{g}_{21} & \boldsymbol{g}_{31} & \boldsymbol{g}_{41} \\
\boldsymbol{g}_{12} & \boldsymbol{g}_{22} & \boldsymbol{g}_{32} & \boldsymbol{g}_{42} \\
\boldsymbol{g}_{13} & \boldsymbol{g}_{23} & \boldsymbol{g}_{33} & \boldsymbol{g}_{43} \\
\boldsymbol{g}_{14} & \boldsymbol{g}_{24} & \boldsymbol{g}_{34} & \boldsymbol{g}_{44}
\end{array}\right] \bullet M \bullet S \\
& =T^{\mathrm{T}} \bullet M^{\mathrm{T}} \bullet \boldsymbol{G} \bullet M \bullet S, \quad 0 \leq s, t \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite Surfaces



## Bézier Surfaces



## Normals to Surfaces

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q(s, t) & =T^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot M^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot G \bullet M \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s} S \\
& =T^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot M^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot G \bullet M \bullet\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 s^{2} & 2 s & 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q(s, t) \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(s, t) \ldots \text { normal vector }
$$

