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## Motivation

$\square$ Interactive rendering of large-scale geometric datasets is important - Scientific and medical visualization - Architectural and industrial CAD

- Training (military and otherwise)
- Entertainment


## Motivation: <br> Big Models

$\square$ The problem:

- Polygonal models are often too complex to render at interactive rates
$\square$ Even worse:
- Incredibly, models are getting bigger as fast as hardware is getting faster...


## Big Models: <br> Submarine Torpedo Room

## $\square 700,000$ polygons



Courtesy General Dynamics, Electric Boat Div.

## Big Models: Coal-fired Power Plant

## $\square 13$ million polygons



## Big Models: Double Eagle Container Ship

## $\square 82$ million polygons



Courtesy Newport News Shipbuilding

## Big Models:

## The Digital Michelangelo Project

## $\square$ David:

56,230,343 polygons
$\square$ St. Matthew:
$372,422,615$ polygons


Courtesy Digital Michelangelo Project, Stanford Univ.

## Level of Detail: <br> The Basic Idea

$\square$ One solution:

- Simplify the polygonal geometry of small or distant objects
- Known as Level of Detail or LOD
$\square$ A.k.a. polygonal simplification, geometric simplification, mesh reduction, multiresolution modeling, ...


## Level of Detail: Traditional Approach

- Create levels of detail (LODs) of objects:
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## Level of Detail: <br> Traditional Approach

$\square$ Distant objects use coarser LODs:


## Traditional Approach: Discrete Level of Detail

$\square$ Traditional LOD in a nutshell:

- Create LODs for each object separately in a preprocess
- At run-time, pick each object's LOD according to the object's distance (or similar criterion)
$\square$ Since LODs are created offline at fixed resolutions, this can be referred as Discrete LOD


## Discrete LOD: Advantages

$\square$ Simplest programming model; decouples simplification and rendering

- LOD creation need not address real-time rendering constraints
- Run-time rendering need only pick LODs


## Discrete LOD: Advantages

$\square$ Fits modern graphics hardware well

- Easy to compile each LOD into triangle strips, display lists, vertex arrays, ...
- These render much faster than unorganized polygons on today's hardware (3-5 x)


## Discrete LOD: <br> Disadvantages

$\square$ So why use anything but discrete LOD?
$\square$ Answer: sometimes discrete LOD not suited for drastic simplification
$\square$ Some problem cases:

- Terrain flyovers
- Volumetric isosurfaces
- Super-detailed range scans
- Massive CAD models


## Drastic Simplification: The Problem With Large Objects



## Drastic Simplification: The Problem With Small Objects



Courtesy Electric Boat

## Drastic Simplification: The Problem With Topology



## Drastic Simplification

$\square$ For drastic simplification:

- Large objects must be subdivided
- Small objects must be combined
- Topology must be simplified
$\square$ Difficult or impossible with discrete LOD


## Continuous Level of Detail

$\square$ A departure from the traditional static approach:

- Discrete LOD: create individual LODs in a preprocess
- Continuous LOD: create data structure from which a desired level of detail can be extracted at run time.


## Continuous LOD: Advantages

$\square$ Better granularity $\rightarrow$ better fidelity

- LOD is specified exactly, not chosen from a few pre-created options
- Thus objects use no more polygons than necessary, which frees up polygons for other objects
- Net result: better resource utilization, leading to better overall fidelity/polygon


## Continuous LOD: Advantages

$\square$ Better granularity $\rightarrow$ smoother transitions

- Switching between traditional LODs can introduce visual "popping" effect
- Continuous LOD can adjust detail gradually and incrementally, reducing visual pops
- Can even geomorph the fine-grained simplification operations over several frames to eliminate pops [Hoppe 96, 98]


## Continuous LOD: Advantages

$\square$ Supports progressive transmission

- Progressive Meshes [Hoppe 97]
- Progressive Forest Split Compression [Taubin 98]
$\square$ Leads to view-dependent LOD
- Use current view parameters to select best representation for the current view
- Single objects may thus span several levels of detail


## Typical Curve \& Surface Simplification Problems

$\square$ Typical Curve Simplification Problem

- Given curve with $n$ vertices, find an accurate approximation using $m$ vertices.
- Given curve with $n$ vertices, find a compact approximation with error $<\varepsilon$.
$\square$ Typical Surface Simplification Problem Given surface with $n$ vertices, find accurate approximation using $m$ vertices.
- Given surface with $n$ vertices, find a compact approximation with error $<\varepsilon$.


# Simplification Problem Characteristics <br> - What problem do you want to solve? 

$\square$ topology of output

- curve or surface
$\square$ topology \& geometry of input
- points, function $f(x)$, curve, height field $f(x, y)$, manifold, surface
$\square$ other attributes:
- color, texture
$\square$ domain of output
- subset of input vertices?


## Simplification Problem Characteristics - What problem do you want to solve?

- topology of triangulation
- uniform, hierarchical, general
$\square$ approximating elements
- linear, quadratic, cubic, ...,other
$\square$ error metric
- L2 = sum of squared, $\mathrm{L} \infty=$ maximum
$\square$ constraints
- most accurate using a given number of elements or amount of memory
- smallest satisfying a given error tolerance


## Simplification Problem Characteristics <br> - How do you want to solve the problem?

$\square$ speed / quality tradeoff

- optimal (\& slow) or sub-optimal (\& fast)?
$\square$ refinement / decimation
- top down or bottom up?
$\square$ number of passes
- one pass or multiple passes?
$\square$ triangulation
- hierarchical triangulation, Delaunay triangulation, data-dependent triangulation, or other?


## Performance Requirements

$\square$ Offline

- Generate model at given level(s) of detail
- Focus on quality
$\square$ Real-time
- Generate model at given level(s) of detail
- Focus on speed
- Requires preprocessing
- Time/space/quality tradeoff


## Taxonomy of Surface Simplification Methods

$\square$ Height Field / Parametric Simplification

- subsampling, pyramid, quadtree methods
- greedy insertion
$\square$ Manifold Simplification
- vertex decimation
- vertex decimation with point lists
- wavelet
- edge collapse
[Garland95]
[Schroeder92]
[Eck95]
[Lounsbery94]
[Hoppe93]
[Ronfard96]
Hoppe96
Gueziec95
[Garland97]
$\square$ Non-Manifold Simplification
- vertex clustering
[Rossignac93]


## Methodology

$\square$ Sequence of local operations

- Involve near neighbors - only small patch affected in each operation
- Each operation introduces error
- Find and apply operation which introduces the least error



## Simplification Operations

- Decimation
- Vertex removal
$\square \mathrm{v} \leftarrow \mathrm{v}-1$
$\square \mathrm{f} \leftarrow \mathrm{f}-2$

- Remaining vertices - subset of original vertex set


## Simplification Operations

$\square$ Decimation

- Edge collapse
$\square \mathrm{v} \leftarrow \mathrm{v}-1$
- $\mathrm{f} \leftarrow \mathrm{f}-2$
- Triangle collapse
$\square \vee \leftarrow \mathrm{v}-2$
$\square f \leftarrow f-4$
- Vertices may move



## Simplification Operations

## $\square$ Contraction

- Pair contraction

- Cluster contraction (set of vertices)
- Vertices may move



## Error Control

$\square$ Local error:

- Compare new patch with previous iteration
$\square$ Fast
$\square$ Accumulates error
$\square$ Memory-less
$\square$ Global error:
- Compare new patch with original mesh
$\square$ Slow
$\square$ Better quality control
$\square$ Can be used as termination condition
$\square$ Must remember the original mesh throughout the algorithm


## Local vs. Global Error



## Simplification Error Metrics

$\square$ Measures

- Distance to plane
- Curvature
$\square$ Usually approximated
- Average plane
- Discrete curvature



## The Basic Algorithm

$\square$ Repeat

- Select the element with minimal error
- Perform simplification operation
$\square$ (remove/contract)
- Update error
- (local/global)
$\square$ Until mesh size / quality is achieved


## Implementation Details

$\square$ Vertices/Edges/Faces data structure - Easy access from each element to neighboring elements
$\square$ Use priority queue (e.g. heap)

- Fast access to element with minimal error
- Fast update


## Vertex Removal Algorithm

$\square$ Simplification operation:

- Vertex removal
$\square$ Error metric:
- Distance to average plane
$\square$ May preserve mesh features (creases)



## Algorithm Outline

$\square$ Characterize local topology/geometry
$\square$ Classify vertices as removable or not
$\square$ Repeat

- Remove vertex
- Triangulate resulting hole
- Update error of affected vertices
$\square$ Until reduction goal is met


## Characterizing <br> Local Topology / Geometry

$\square$ Vertex Classification


Boundary


Simple


Complex


Corner


Interior

## Characterizing

## Local Topology / Geometry

$\square$ Feature edge exists if the angle between the surface normals of two adjacent triangles is greater than a user-specified "feature angle".


## Characterizing

Local Topology / Geometry
$\square$ Determine whether the given vertex is a potential candidate for deletion
$\square$ All vertices except complex vertices become candidates for deletion

## Decimation Criterion

$\square E_{\text {MAX }}$ - user defined parameter
$\square$ Simple Vertex

- Distance of vertex to the face loop average plane $<\mathrm{E}_{\text {MAX }}$
$\square$ Boundary \& Interior Vertex - Distance of vertex to the new boundary/edge $<\mathrm{E}_{\text {MAX }}$


$$
\vec{N}=\frac{\sum \vec{n}_{i} A_{i}}{\sum A_{i}}, \vec{n}=\frac{\vec{N}}{|\vec{N}|}, \vec{x}=\frac{\sum \vec{x}_{i} A_{i}}{\sum A_{i}}
$$

$\vec{n}_{i}$ :triangle normal, $\vec{x}_{i}$ :centers, $A_{i}$ :areas
average plane $d=|\vec{n} \bullet(\vec{v}-\vec{x})|, \vec{v}:$ vertex of considered

## Decimation Criterion

$\square$ Corner Vertex?


- Corner vertices are usually not deleted to keep the sharp features.


## Triangulation

$\square$ If a vertex is eliminated, the loop created by removing the vertex is retriangulated.
$\square$ Every loop is star shaped: recursive loop splitting triangulation schemes are used.
$\square$ If a loop cannot be re-triangulated, the vertex generating the loop is not removed.
Definition: A polygon $P$ in which there exists an interior point $p$ such that all the boundary points of $P$ are visible from $p$.

## Triangulation

$\square$ After deleting a vertex and associated triangles create 1 or 2 loops
$\square 1$ loop

- Simple or Boundary Vertex
$\square 2$ loops
- Interior Edge Vertex



## Triangulation

$\square$ A triangulation of set of points in the plane is a partition of the convex hull to triangles whose vertices are the points, and are empty of other points.
$\square$ There are an exponential number of triangulations of a point set.


Definition: the minimal convex set containing a set of points $P$.

## Triangulation

ㅁ Formal Definition

- maximal planar subdivision
$\square$ a subdivision $S$ such that no edge connecting two vertices can be added to $S$ without destroying its planarity
- triangulation of set of points $P$
$\square$ a maximal planar subdivision whose vertices are elements of $P$


## Triangulation

$\square$ Outer polygon must be convex hull
$\square$ Internal faces must be triangles, otherwise they could be triangulated further

convex hull boundary

## Triangulation

$\square$ For $P$ consisting of $n$ points, all triangulations contain $2 n-2-k$ triangles and $3 n-3-k$ edges

- $n=$ number of points in $P$
- $k=$ number of points on convex hull of $P$

convex hull boundary


## Recursive Splitting Triangulation

$\square$ A split plane orthogonal to average plane is determined.

$\square$ If two loops do not overlap, the split plane is acceptable.

## Recursive Splitting Triangulation

$\square$ Best splitting plane is determined using an aspect ratio:
minimum distance of the loop vertices to the split line the length of the split line
$\square$ Maximum aspect ratio gives best splitting plane.

## Piecewise Linear Interpolation

$\square$ The height of a point $p$ inside a triangle is determined by the height of the triangle vertices, and the location of $p$.
$\square$ The result depends on the triangulation.


## Barycentric Coordinates

$\square$ Any point inside a triangle can be expressed uniquely as a convex combination of the triangle vertices.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=a_{1} v_{1}+a_{2} v_{2}+a_{3} v_{3} \\
& a_{i}=\frac{A_{i}}{A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}} \\
& a_{i} \geq 0, a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quality Triangulation

$\square$ Let $\mathrm{A}(T)=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$ be the angle vector in the triangulation $T$, in increasing order.
$\square \mathrm{A}(T)>\mathrm{A}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ iff there exists an $i$ such that $\alpha_{j}=\alpha_{j}^{\prime}$ for all $j<i \quad \alpha_{i}>\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$
$\square$ Best triangulation is the triangulation that is andle aptimal, 9 hos the larges angle y ector.

- Maximizes minimum angre.


## Thales' Theory

$\square$ Let $C$ be a circle, and / be a line intersecting $C$ at points $a$ and $b$. Let $p, q, r$ and $s$ be points lying on the same side of $l$, where $p$ and $q$ are on $C, r$ inside $C$ and $s$ outside $C$. Then:


## Improving a Triangulation

$\square$ Consider two adjacent triangles of $T$ :
$\square$ If the two triangles form a convex quadrilateral, we could have an alternative triangulation by performing an edge flip on their shared edge.

illegal

## Illegal Edges

$\square$ Lemma: An edge is illegal iff one of its opposite vertices is inside the circle defined by the other three vertices.
$\square$ Proof: By Thales' theorem.


## Illegal Edges

$\square$ Theorem: A Delaunay triangulation does not contain illegal edges.
$\square$ Corollary: A triangle is Delaunay iff the circle through its vertices is empty of other sites (the empty-circle condition).
$\square$ Corollary: The Delaunay triangulation is not unique if more than three sites are co-circular.

## Delaunay Graph \& Voronoi Diagram

## - Delaunay Graph of a set of points $P$ is

 the dual graph of the Voronoi Diagram of $P$

Definition: the partitioning of a plane with points $P$ into convex polygons such that each polygon contains exactly one generating point and every point in a given polygon is closer to its generating point than to any other.

## Delaunay Graph

$\square$ Constructing Delaunay Graph by connecting the adjacent vertices sharing an edge.


Note: no two edges cross; Delaunay Graph is a planar graph.

## Delaunay Triangulation

$\square$ Some sets of more than 3 points of Delaunay graph may lie on the same circle.
$\square$ These points form empty convex polygons, which can be triangulated.
$\square$ Delaunay Triangulation is a triangulation obtained by adding 0 or more edges to the Delaunay Graph.


## Pros and Cons

$\square$ Pros:

- Efficient
- Simple to implement and use
$\square$ Few input parameters to control quality
- Reasonable approximation
- Works on very large meshes
- Preserves topology
- Vertices are a subset of the original mesh
$\square$ Cons:
- Error is not bounded
$\square$ Local error evaluation causes error to accumulate


## Edge Collapse Algorithm

$\square$ Simplification operation:

- Pair contraction
$\square$ Error metric:
- distance, pseudo-global
$\square$ Simplifies also topology



## Pros and Cons

$\square$ Pros

- Error is bounded
- Allows topology simplification
- High quality result
- Quite efficient
$\square$ Cons
- Difficulties along boundaries
- Difficulties with coplanar planes
- Introduces new vertices not present in the original mesh


## Special Cases

$\square$ Modification of topology of a closed structure

$\square$ Topological 'holes' problem


## Special Cases

$\square$ Foldover problem

$\square$ Topological inconsistency problem


## Vertex Tree \& Active Triangle List

$\square$ The Vertex Tree

- represents the entire model
- a hierarchical clustering of vertices
- queried each frame for updated scene
- The Active Triangle List
- represents the current simplification
- list of triangle to be displayed


## The Vertex Tree

$\square$ Each vertex tree node contains:

- a subset of model vertices
- a representative vertex or repvert
$\square$ Folding a node collapses its vertices to the repvert
$\square$ Unfolding a node splits the repvert back into vertices


## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List


Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List


Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List


Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## Vertex Tree Example



Triangles in Active List
Vertex Tree

## The Vertex Tree: Folding \& Unfolding



## The Vertex Tree: Tris \& Subtris



Tris: triangles that change shape upon folding Subtris: triangles that disappear completely

