Geometry Images

Xianfeng Gu Harvard University Steven Gortler Harvard University Hugues Hoppe Microsoft Research







Irregular meshes



Vertex 1 $x_1 y_1 z_1$ Vertex 2 $x_2 y_2 z_2$

Face 2 1 3 Face 4 2 3

...

....

Texture mapping

....



Vertex 1 $x_1 y_1 z_1 s_1 t_1$ Vertex 2 $x_2 y_2 z_2 s_2 t_2$

Face 2 1 3 Face 4 2 3

...



normal map

Remeshing



[Eck et al 1995] [Lee et al 1998] [Khodakovsky 2000] [Guskov et al 2000]

...



irregular vertex indices

only **semi**-regular

Geometry Image



Geometry Image



completely regular sampling

Geometry Image

Advantages:

- Other surface attributes, such as normal and colors, are sharing the same domain as the geometry
- Parameterization itself is implicit Texture coordinates are absent.





Basic idea





parametrize





Basic idea









Basic idea cut store render [r,g,b] = [x,y,z]

Creation of Geometry Images Overview

- **1**. Find a good cut ρ
- 2. Parameterize according to opened cut p'

Parameterization

 \blacktriangleright Assume that we are given a good cut ρ , we do 2 things: **1.** Boundary parameterization Fix a mapping between the opened cut ρ' and the boundary of the unit square D. Interior parameterization 2. Solve for a map of M' onto D that is consistent with these boundary conditions.

Boundary Parameterization





Constraints:

- cut-path mates identical length
- endpoints at grid points
- No triangle in M' can have its all vertices mapped to one of the four sides of the square.
- Break any edge that spans one of the four corners of D.

 \rightarrow no cracks



Interior Parameterization





L2 Geometric-stretch metric [Sander et al 2001]

- Simplified M' into progressive mesh. [Sander et al 2002]
- From base mesh, apply vertex splits to successfully refine the mesh.
- For each inserted vertex, minimize stretch using a local, non-linear optimization algorithm.

Stretch parametrization









Cutting



sphere in 3D



2D surface disk

Cutting





2D surface disk

sphere in 3D

 \blacktriangleright Genus-0 surface \rightarrow any tree of edges

Cutting



torus (genus 1)

► Genus-g surface \rightarrow 2g generator loops minimum

Surface cutting algorithm (1) Find topologically-sufficient cut: 2*g* loops [Dey and Schipper 1995] [Erickson and Har-Peled 2002]

(2) Allow better parametrization: additional cut paths [Sheffer 2002]

Step 1: Find topologically-sufficient

(a) retract 2-simplices



(b) retract 1-simplices



Results of Step 1



Step 2: Augment cut

Make the cut pass through "extrema" (note: not local phenomena).

Approach: parametrize and look for "bad" areas.



Step 2: Augment cut





...iterate while parametrization improves

Results of Steps 1 & 2





genus 1

genus 0

Sample



geometry image

Rendering





(65x65 geometry image)

Rendering with attributes



geometry image 257² x 12b/ch



normal-map image 512² x 8b/ch



rendering

Advantages for hardware rendering



Regular sampling → no vertex indices.
Unified parametrization → no texture coordinates.
<u>Summary</u>: compact, regular, no indirection



Results



257x257







normal-map 512x512

Results





257x257









Mip-mapping



257x257

129x129

65x65

Hierarchical culling



view-frustum culling



normal-map image

backface culling

Compression



+ topological sideband (12 B)

Compression results

295 KB →



1.5 KB

Rate distortion



Some artifacts





anisotropic sampling

aliasing

Summary





 Simple rendering: compact, no indirection

- Mipmapped geometry
- Hierarchical culling
- Compressible

Limitations

Cannot represent non-manifold geometry

Unwrapping an entire mesh as a single chart can create parameterization with greater distortion and less uniform sampling than can be achieved with multiple local charts.

Future work Better cutting algorithms Feature-sensitive remeshing Tangent-frame compression Bilinear and bicubic rendering Build hardware